7. 150-92

G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

1891-92
~~192~~ .
(L.R.)

File No. 166 [illegible]

Serial Nos.

Later references.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 1-6

Part B for June 1893

SUBJECT.

Resumption of the Inam of Anjaneya temple, Byadarahalli, Hassan Taluk.

To Basava Gowda and others of Byadarahalli, Hassan Taluk

No. 431 dated 10-6-1893

The petitioners are referred to the Deputy Commissioner who has been instructed to give the land for the Kandayam fixed at the Survey settlement to the village community, the village being sold in question, and requiring to comply with the grant of the land as Inam.

WD 2233—GPB—20,000—11-6-20

Commissioner

to the place, the petitioners declined to do so on the plea that their petition to the higher authorities had not been disposed of. The land was knocked down to the Raja on the 25th. The Dy. Commissioner observed that the sale could not be set aside on the petitioners application as the petitioner was not regular & diligent in re-sale should the petitioners be willing to take up the land for a higher sum.

In the meanwhile the petitioners appealed to Govt. and stated that they were appeal to Govt. fail they would take it up for a higher sum.

The Dy. Comm. thereupon ordered further proceedings to be held in abeyance pending the disposal of the appeal before Govt.

Extracts from Lieut. Wood's Book, Pindi Patans and Phulial Patiala accounts so far as the land in question is concerned are also furnished.

S.N.R.
21/4/93

Précis

Petition from Kalegowda and others of Agasa-halli village, Hassan Taluq complaining against the resumption of certain Inam lands belonging to the Anjaneya temple of the Village & praying for their restoration

---

On the 6th October 1890 the petitioners petitioned the Dy. Amildar of the Grama sub-Taluq that the lands which had been attached to the Anjaneya temple of this village were resumed during the Survey Settlement and were heavily assessed. That in consequence the trustees of the temple resigned these lands, abandoned the worship and left the village, and that the village & worship have on account

deserted shrunk

the village, they appointed
a new postman and
have been getting
the posts done at
their own expense
and prayed that the
Inam lands may be
restored to the temple.
The Dy. Com. Anilcar
Peria por. for the reference
with connected with the
resumption and from
the original Taluq Cutcherry.
The reference which con-
sisted of only an extract
from the Inam Register
having thrown no light
upon the question which
it showed only that the
Inam lands were resumed by Govt
no reason being given,
the Dy. Anilcar wrote
to the Inam Supt. 22.2-91
for information of the
circumstances connected
with the resumption.
The Inam Supt. 17-3-91
replied that no Inam
was having existed
the existence of the
village was

Memo

Petition Reg. No. 4431

d. 18-3-92 -

Petitioner — Kale gowda
and others of Hydar-
-halli Hassan Taly.

Complaint against the
resumption of the Inam
lands of the Anjaneya
temple of the village
and prayer for their
restoration.

During the Survey settle-
-ment the lands Inam
has been enjoyed by
the Anjaneya temple
in Hydarhalli as
Inam were resumed
and assessed in conse-
-quence of the invalidity
of the Inams which
were not supported by
any Sanads & also
except the Inam lands
kept in the Enclosure.

The petitioners pleaded
that in consequence of
the resumption, the people

Strictly the ryots have no right to claim the land. But seeing that the land is asked for the deity in the village, & as the ryots there all met in the absence of Puja they are subject to inconveniences, I would direct the DC not to make over the land to the ryots community & issue a Patta in the name of the deity — It need not be 50 in number.

A.S.
20/5/93

Adamant to worship in
Lepa the Village, but the
Shipage of the Kanja
occasioned many mis-
-fortune to the Village
and that they have
therefore found it necessary
to appoint a Kanjani
under the Kanja Kalyam
at their own Expense
and pray for their relief
Alleging that the
welfare of the Village
at large depends upon
the maintenance of
the Temple

S. Kelp
3/9/92.

A duplicate was received
from the Petitioners Vakeels
for the Land on 20.11.91.

The petitioners petitioned against
the sale of the Land & prayed
for the Registration of
the name of their Kulu.
Sub Dy. Commissioner directed
the petitioners proofs & ordered
that they Land shall
be exempt for sale.

Before the communication
of the Orders Endorsements
the petitioners, Vakeels

both

Today "Vakeel" accepts
they were received: And
6-4-91 the Dy. Amildar gave
Endorsement to the
petitioners re the terms
of the Inam enquiry
reply.

Thereupon the petitioners
18-3-92 petitioned the Court.
in the terms of their
petn. to the Dy. Amildar
and further stating
that the lands had been
given away to one
Krishnappa while the
petitioners were prepar-
-ing to pay one years Canda-
-yam and apply to the
Court for the declaration
of the Inam as they
wanted the lands to be
retained for the temple.

Reg. as to what this petn. to the
Dy. Comm. records no attention
23-5-92 ^ The Dy. Comm. was
asked to report on the
11-7-92 matter and his report
runs in the terms of
the Inam enquiry reply
of the Dy. Amildar.

3/9/92. Contd

P.T.O.

One Ramaswamy Gurukal 20.11.91
Chettiyar having applied for
this land and the petitioners
protested against the grant 21.11.91
stating that they had behind
the huts on portion adjoining
the Archaka of the land of
the temple, Ratchagondan
and Entrement having been
received that accounts did not
support the grant, & praying
that at least the land might
be registered in the name
of Ariya ~~Pandia~~ for Sandaram
and Putta issued. Patel
Ekambara of the village made
also a statement before the
Dy. Amildar in the terms
of the above petition stating
that after registry in the name
of the Ariya the land might
be given Himself for
cultivation stating himself
not Dent the lots given
without their permission
The Dy. Amildar sent 20.11.91
the orders of Court to the
Commr. in the matter.

The Parisutha Vishnapise 28.11.91
petitioned the Dy. Commissioner
that the land had been waste
for 8 years since the survey
settlement & that the villagers
brought in a few Cattle
as soon as the land is
granted that the land
might be given by an amicable

Sale.

Sale of the land.

11. 1. 72 — The Dy. Comm. directed the Dy. Comissr. that an endorsement might be given to the petitioner to the effect that the Collr. should after the receipt of the Kabuliat for a land which had been waste since the Settlement could not be recognised & that it could be obtained for the temple at the auction & asked that the sale proceedings might be duly conducted.

16. 1. 72 — The Dy. Comissr. issued the sale notice, the Kabuliat. -dar alone signed it & the Villagers declined to sign adding stating that they had petitioned the higher authority in the matter.

7. 2. 72. — The petitioner petitioned the Dy. Comm, stating that the cultivating season having expired at the time of the receipt of the endorse- -ment declining the restitu- of the Inam tenure, praying the Kabuliat for the land next year, that in the meantime the Kabuliat -dar in question distrain for it, & prayed that writs might be issued in the Name of the deity.

The

The Dy. Amildar forwards the Thumbprint, Report of Felicitation of the Villagers, on entrainment on the Train of the Dy. Commr. Order that the effigies the Villagers taken into custody and Key Land obtained be Land in auction.

15. 2. 92

The Sale was held on this date, the Villagers did not bid for the Land, Made a remark in the Sale list that they had petitioned the higher authorities regarding the Registration of the Land in the Name of the deity. In the Sale one Keshavale Ramjanma purchased it for Rs 33-4-0.

16. 3. 92

The Instrument referred to in the Dy. Amildars Cost Nos of 15.2.92 above was delivered to the Villagers only on this date.

18. 3. 92

The Police reported the Dy. Amildars Recall of the Sale and of having remitted the deposit money of Rs 8-4-0

Do -

The Dy. Amildar on his turn submitted the usual report of the Sale & Submitted the Sale proceedings.

24-4-92.

The Dy. Commr. directed that the Villagers had assaulted on the Sale date but Key had obtained the higher authorities in the matter and declined to bid in the auction, and that Key Copies should be delivered

24. 7. 92

directly informed of the decision that the Inam tenure could not be resisted & that the land could be obtained for the Temple in the auction sale, that in the absence of this sale could not consider as properly disposed and directed that should the villagers be willing to take up the land for a higher sum than what is realised in the sale now held, a re-sale should be held.

9-8-92. The Dy. Amildar issued a notice to the villagers to the above effect. The villagers

14.8.92 replied that they had petitioned the Dewan on the subject & received an endorsement that a report with proceedings had been called for; that should they fail in their appeal to the Dewan, they would take up the land for a higher price.

1-9-92. The Dy. Amildar reported the matter to the Dy. Commr. who in reply stated that reference had been made to Govt. requesting the sanction of the Inam and pending the reply from Govt. the proceedings should be held in abeyance & the matter informed to the villagers.

29.11.92 The Dy. Amildar reports that the villagers accordingly

SRA. 21/4/93.

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. 376 dated 30-4-92 received at the Dewan's Office from Halagowda Patel of Byadrahalli village Hirisave Hobli, praying that certain Devaru lands which were allocated to the Anjaneya Devaru and Somesvara Devaru's temples, but owing to ... to the temples

is forwarded, together with its ~~enclosures~~, to the Dy. Commr. Hirisave Dist. for ... of Pros. and a full report of the facts of the case ... the points taken ... petition

2. The petitioners should be ...

By Order,

Chief Secretary

BANGALORE,
23rd June 1892

376 R
5-5-92

Mayfair Printers, Bangalore

(1). Extra Stamp for Issue
(2). Copy of order Produced.
(3). Appeal in time.
(4). Rule I (3)

Call for Proof

18/5/92

ترجمہ کا نمونہ — ہم نے کل ۱۵ صفحات ترجمہ کیے — ہر صفحہ ۲۵۰ الفاظ پر مشتمل ہے — کل ۳۷۵۰ الفاظ بنتے ہیں — فی لفظ ۱۵ پیسے کے حساب سے کل رقم ۵۶۲ روپے ۵۰ پیسے بنتی ہے — براہِ کرم یہ رقم ہمارے بینک اکاؤنٹ میں منتقل کر دیں — شکریہ

دستخط ——— ۲۷-۱-۱۴۸۲

Enclosure
23/4/92

Reps - Early
M
15/4/92

BO
M
16/4/92

143
15-4-92

4431
30-3-92

6

Shri Gupta Stamp affixed

Certified to be
Mal Free Water
Repellent

Stamp affixed
23/4/92

(General Serial No. 30.)

1892. 504

From The Deputy Commissioner, Kaipara District

To The Chief Secretary to the Dewan of Mysore

Dated 7th July 1892

Despatched

Received

Enclosures No. 1 Single sheet.

General No. 229 of 1892

No. 51 of 1892

In reply to No. 45 of the 23rd March 1892

SUBJECT.

Submitting report on the petition from Kalegowda & others of Bagadi requesting Kaipara Taluk Survey that certain Inamdars attached to the Anjanapura & Somesvara Temples Inam villages be released

---

References (to be entered only in the Dewan's Office).

In the case of 2687 of 1891

Observe that the records received are incomplete in as much as the sale proceedings referred to in para 2 of the Inam Dy Commissioner's report of 2nd July 1892 have not been sent up. The entire records should be submitted at an early date. The two papers received are returned herewith for reference & submission together with the petitioners' letter dated 30th June 1892

Inam Survey Bakis Phut Book Kaipara Phut Patrick accounts &c Appeal Petitions

Para 2 in the case

Peter Br. Mysore

229
S.

Deputy Commissioner's office,
Hassan District.

Dated Hassan, 11th June 1892.

From,

The Deputy Commissioner,
Hassan District.

To

The Chief Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
Bangalore

Sir,

Adverting to your official memo No. 45 of the 23d May 1892, forwarding for report petition from Kalegowda and others of Byadarahalli village, Hassan Taluk praying that certain inam lands attached to the Anjaneya and Someswara Temples, but since resumed to Government may be restored to the Temples,

ab

(17)

I have the honor to state that the Inam Superintendent in his order No. 783/645 dated 17th March 1891 to the Amildar of Hassan, stated that the Lands attached to these temples were resumed in consequence of the invalidity of the Inams which are not supported by any Inamati ac. - Counts except the "Vatnari" ac. - Counts kept in the Taluk. -

2. The entire Vernacular proceedings ending with Hassan Taluk Amildar's report No. 4 dated 2nd Jan'y 1892, together with the petitions forwarded with the memo under reply, are here. - with submitted. . —

I have the honor to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant;

C/H 2/4 Asst Commissioner
C Hassan District

(General Serial No. 30.)

189

From

The Chief Secretary
to the Dewan of Mysore.

To

The Asst Commr
Hassan &c

Dated 6th
Despatched 7th Sept 1892
Received

Enclosures No. one file of 3 papers

General No. 3687
Pol. Dept. No. 150-92

Calling for the entire
records connected with the
appeal etc of Vijayendra
Theertha Yogeeswaraiah
Hassan & alias.

References to be marked.

From the same No 6863 of 1891-92

To the Deputy Comr.
Kaira District.

Sir, I am directed to forward herewith for submission to the Government of Bombay the petition of Vaghjibhai Jivabhai Patel of Sankhyapur in the Anjar Mahal of Kutch praying for restoration of certain lands in the Anjar Mahal of Kutch which have been resumed by Govt.

With reference to the above I have the honor to state that the records received therewith are incomplete inasmuch as the case No. referred to in para 2 of the Govt. Resolution, dated 5th July 95 have not been received. The entire records should be submitted at an early date.

2. The survey papers should also be furnished, ascertained for

reference and permission
together with extract from
the Surrogate's Court, Bath, Steu
Petition and Original Citat
account filed per as the Pet
mentioned in the Original
Petition are Concurred

Yours &c

Draft

Bath
17/8/82
Philip Sicy

W. V.

(General Serial No. 30.)

OFFICE OF THE
6929
DEC. 92
189
Tel 526
MAN OF M

From

The Deputy Commissioner,
Kaisan District

To

The Chief Secretary to the Dewan
of Mysore.

Dated 28th December 1892

Despatched 29th December 1892

Received

Enclosures No. file 6929

General No. 686 of 1892
No.

Kaisan District No. 508 dated 7th September 1892 regarding the petition of Kalegowda and others of Byadarahalli Village, Kaisan Taluk praying for the restoration of certain Inam lands of Anjaneya & Someswara Temples of the said village.-

I called for in the above I have the honor to submit herewith the Sale proceedings referred to in para 2 of the Kaisan dated

9/1/93

Auditor's report dated
1892 together with Index from
the Survey Vavoei, Barè, Kut
Patani and Bidasari Patrika
accounts so far as the numbers
mentioned in the Appeal &
Petition are concerned. +

The documentary Papers relating
with Gyan Dy Commissioner's
Report No. 357/385 dated 22nd November
1892 are herewith submitted.

M Thomas

Dy Commissioner
Bassein District

131-

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
10- 6- 1893

10-6-93

Endorsement granted to Peasants and others of Kaudan-
= halli village, Kapsan Taluk

in reply to their Petition Registered No. [illegible] dated 3rd March 1893
praying that Sarvan Inam which was resumed at the Inam
Settlement may be restored to the Inam and Cash granted at the
Survey Settlement may not be levied as stated in the Govt.
on the petition of Krishnappa, and praying to grant
to the temple of Anjaneya

Petitioners ~~is informed that~~ are rejected & the Petitioners
who have been instructed to
give the land to the Kaudigan
found at the Survey Settlement
to the Village Community,
but without being told in
writing. Their prayer for
the grant of the land as
Inam cannot be complied
with

Rej
4/6/93

"By Order"

Draft

for Chief Secretary.

Mysore Govt. Central Press, Bangalore.—9-2-93—2000.

(18)

Read: -

(1) Petition No: 4431 dated 18th March 1892 from Basavagowda and others of Byadarhalli Village, Hapan Taluk, praying that Survey No: 89 in the said Village, which had been held as Inam to the Anjaneya temple in the Village, but was resumed at the Inam Settlement owing to invalidity of the Inam and fully assessed at the Survey Settlement, and which lay waste since the Survey Settlement as the Poojari then refused to take up the land, may not be sold as ordered by the Deputy Commissioner on the Shankalp presented by one Krishnappa, but granted as Inam to the temple. -

(2) Letter No: 229/5 dated 11th July 1892 from the Dy: Comr. Hapan Dt., reporting on the above petition.

(3) Docket No: 686 dated 28th Dec.

December 1892 from ... f
Of ... submitting ... i
connected with the dar
of Krishnappa

Order No 20614
Pet: F. 150-9

The Govt. are plea
direct that the land
given to the Village Co
munity without bein
sold in auction, and t
thereof issued in the
name of the deity.
Muchilika should be
taken from the Respons
members of the Commun
for regular payment o
the assessment of the
land.

Dept
11/6/93

The villagers have no right to claim the land. But seeing that the land is asked in for the deity in the village and as the villagers think that in the absence of Puja they are subject to misfortunes

This portion is added for approval, as reading is required in the temple or else to be left to remain in the Kandayam.

I have no objection, but the machilika is of no use, as we cannot sell the private property of the people

Dept
11/6/93

Mrn
6/6/93
for Chief Secretary

To the Deputy Commr. Hassan Dt
with vernacular proceedings

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. NO. 143
DATE 15 — 4 — 92

(2)

192